

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/308,408	06/28/1999	ANDREAS FELLINGER	FLA-0010	6097
759	90 02/28/2002			
D. PETER HOCHBERG CO., L.P.A.			EXAMINER	
1940 EAST 6TH STREET-6TH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2294		R	LUONG, SHIAN TINH NHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3728		
		DATE MAILED: 02/28/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Supplemental Advisory Action

Applicant(s)	
FELLINGER, ANDREAS	
Art Unit	
3728	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. THE REPLY FILED Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: 16-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____. 10. Other: Shian T. Luong

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3728 Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/308,408





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 16, lines 6, 7 and claim 18, lines 6-7 and new claim 22 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument concerning Applezweig has been considered. However, Applezweig clearly states that the envelopes 11 are formed of a transparent frangible material and nothing in the disclosure prevents one from applying pressure on the cover of the envelope to puncture therethrough. In addition, Appelzweig uses the same type of material as applicant. In addition, on column 9, lines 34-36, the reference even utilize a film of foil packaging which is commonly known in the packaging art as easily frangible by application of pressure on the cover thereof. With respect to Roulin et al, the push through feature is for a user to push through the cover or lid that is made out of aluminum foil with relatively little force for it to rupture. It is contrary to applicant's interpretation that the amount of finger pressure applied has to push through both sides of the envelope..